IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:

MAR 0 4 2004

Herbert URAM

ENT & TO A OF NE

09/901,717

Filed:

Serial No.:

July 11, 2001

For:

Method and System for Hurricane Control

Examiner:

Steven J. Ganey

Group Art Unit: 3752

Attorney Docket:

RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 **RECEIVED**

MAR 0 9 2004

TECHNÓLOGY CENTER R3700

Sir:

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Official

Action mailed December 5, 2003, in which the Examiner rejected all Claims 1 – 16

under 35 USC 101 and under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Favorable reconsideration of both rejections is respectfully requested in the light of the following remarks:

The Rejection under 35 USC 101

The rejection under 35 USC 101 is based on a holding by the Examiner that the

"disclosed invention is wholly inoperative and therefore lacking in credible utility".

In support of this holding the Examiner states: